Application No.: 09/759,773 Docket No.: 16LP-134175

REMARKS

Upon entry of the foregoing amendments, claims 6-8 and 11-20, 23-25 are pending in the application. Claims 1-5 were previously Canceled. Claims 9 and 10 are canceled without prejudice to or disclaimer of the subject matter therein. Claims 6, 12, 16, 21, 22, and 24 are sought to be amended. No new matter is introduced by these amendments and their entry is respectfully requested.

In view of the below remarks, Applicants believe the claims are in condition for allowance and reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 6-12, 15, and 24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being allegedly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,157,474 to Orr *et al.* ("Orr"). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Claim 6 is patentable over Orr because Orr does not teach or suggest "a second holographic recording interlaced with the first holographic recording, wherein each holographic recording is approximately 2.5 inches in length," as recited in amended claim 6. The recited dimension is not randomly selected. The holographic recording is designed to have a length of 2.5 inches for least the reasons provided in paragraph [0047] of the Specification, which is reproduced below.

It is well known that humans perceive three-dimensions because the information received by the right eye is slightly different than the information received by the left eye. The separation of approximately 2.5 inches between the right and left eyes results in these perceived differences which converge to provide depth cues that create a three dimensional effect. This concept is mimicked through the use of the view region mask 52 during the holographic recording process as shown in FIG. 5. (Specification, para. [0047])

Application No.: 09/759,773 Docket No.: 16LP-134175

Orr is directed to a hologram 3 having sections 31 and 3r. However, nowhere does Orr teach or suggest that each of the section is approximately 2.5 inches in length. Accordingly, claim 6 is patentable over Orr.

Independent claims 12, 15, and 24 are also patentable over Orr because they recite similar features as distinguished in claim 6. Claims 7-11 depend from one of independent claim 6 and are thus patentable over Orr for at least the reasons provided, and further view of their own distinguishing features.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 16-23 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Orr in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,081,354 to Gambogi ("Gambogi"). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Claims 16-23 depend from claim 15. Gambogi does not provide the teachings missing from Orr for at least the reasons provided above with respect to claim 6. Accordingly, claims 16-23 are patentable Orr and Gambogi, considered alone or in combination. Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the rejection of claims 16-23.

Application No.: 09/759,773 Docket No.: 16LP-134175

CONCLUSION

In view of the above, each of the presently pending claims in this application is believed to be in immediate condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the outstanding rejection of the claims and to pass this application to issue. If it is determined that a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number given below.

In the event the U.S. Patent and Trademark office determines that an extension and/or other relief is required, applicant petitions for any required relief including extensions of time and authorizes the Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions and/or other fees due in connection with the filing of this document to Deposit Account No. 18-1953 referencing the Atty. Docket No. noted above. However, the Commissioner is not authorized to charge the cost of the issue fee to the Deposit Account.

Dated: December 6, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

Young A. Tang

Registration No.: 55,665

SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP

333 South Hope Street, 48th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90071-1448 Telephone: (858) 720-8900

Facsimile: (858) 509-3691